



ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES COUNCIL

Wednesday, August 22, 2012

1 – 2:30 p.m., Griffin Gate

Meeting Summary

Attended: P. Andrasko, I. Bauza, K. Brauer, T. Flood, L. Gibson, J. Goodman
Alba Orr, H. Phan

Absent: R. Althaus, C. Rapolla,

Recorder: P. Sparks

Meeting Commenced: 1 p.m.

1. ASO Updates

Tim provided a handout, *Section 1 – Annual SLO Update*, for the Council to review. He explained that each department will receive via email this document with their ASOs included in column 1. He instructed the Council thoroughly on the next steps.

2. ASO Goals – 2012/13

The 2012/13 ASO Goals are now incorporated and goals for this year established. Tim asked the Council to consider their department needs and develop Activity Proposals (APs). Activity Proposals must be posted by November 1. Before the winter break, we will have the APs prioritized and the Institutional Review Committee consider them in December.

3. Planning Website, Program Review Documents

Tim referred the Council to the Planning Website, indicating that once on the Grossmont College Website simply type “/planning” after Grossmont.edu/ (<http://www.grossmont.edu/planning/>). He explained that once on the planning page the colleges 2010-16 Strategic Plan and all planning documents can be found there, including the Annual Planning Calendar. We are now in the August – September timeframe. This means we should be reviewing our planning goals for the upcoming planning cycle, 2012/13. He stressed that the Program Review Documents from each department is due on October 1. There are no extensions. Tim thoroughly reviewed the planning documents for the Committee and gave insight and instruction to each department.

Tim requested each department meet with him to finalize their Program Review documents. Tim asked Patty to schedule the meetings.



4. Department Updates

No department updates provided.

5. VPAS Update

Budget

Tim stated that the Adopted Budget is balanced based upon the worst case scenario (Governor's Tax Measure - Prop 30 not passing) and was posted last night. 2012-13 Unrestricted Adopted budget is \$56.4 million, down from 60.4 million in 2011-12 (down \$4 million). This includes a significant workload reduction. He explained that the situation at the State level continues to deteriorate which impacts our budget and our FTES goals. Final adjustments to FTES will be made after the November election.

Tim explained the difference between Prop 30 and Prop 38, they are:

Prop 30

If *Prop 30* passes community colleges would get \$209 million in 2012/13 and more than \$3 billion over the next seven years. If it fails, community colleges would lose \$338 million in funding – cutting enrollment by 85,000 FTES.

Prop 38

If passed, 90 percent of the new tax revenue would go to K-12 schools and early education 10% for state bond debt repayment. None of the additional revenue is directed toward higher education.

In both measures, K through 12 benefits however, only Prop 30 will allocate funds to higher education. Again, if both measures pass the one with the most votes will be enacted. Both AFT and CSEA are supporting *Prop 30*.

Meeting Adjourned: 2:30 p.m.